

EECS 381 Example Code Quality Check List - C version

Explanation and guidance for some items is shown in italics

Student: _____ AG score: _____

General code quality

- _____ (2) Appropriate commenting.
- _____ Function prototypes first, functions in readable order
- _____ Main function is very small
 - *represents top level of program process, calling functions that do the work.*
- _____ Program has well-chosen subfunctions to organize the code
- _____ Program lacks redundant or awkward code.
 - *it could have been eliminated, or rewritten to make it simpler and clearer.*
- _____ Code is not duplicated excessively.
 - *don't code identical functionality with copy/paste! - write a function instead!*
- _____ Code reads well, and is not excessively verbose or convoluted.
- _____ Good variable/symbol naming
- _____ Code follows recommended C style practices (e.g. NULL, typedefs, macro names)
- _____ Code uses C idioms
 - *testing with if(flag) instead of if(flag != 0), writing loops like for(i = 0; i < len; i++) when possible.*
- _____ (2) Program lacks egregious or gross inefficiency.
 - *egregious inefficiency: inefficiency without compensating quality improvement in return.*
 - *gross inefficiency: extreme inefficiency that could have been easily avoided.*

Specific code quality - differs depending on project

- _____ Main function built around a switch statement that calls command-specific functions
- _____ Format for scanf of strings disallows overrun of array
- _____ No unnecessary memory allocations (e.g. for temporary buffers - local arrays used instead)
- _____ Memory allocated and deallocated in responsible module's functions only
- _____ Return value from malloc checked and program terminated if 0
- _____ Memory for strings allocated to fit the data
- _____ No apparent memory leaks.
- _____ All allocated memory freed upon termination.
- _____ Globals are used, and declared and defined in .h, .c files, following course guidelines.
- _____ Globals are modified only by appropriately responsible module
- _____ Good choice of functions in Utility module (e.g. a string allocator/deallocator)
 - *functions used in more than one module, or could be used in very different projects.*
- _____ Standard Library facilities used appropriately (no reinvention of wheels)

Other Attributes

- _____ Additional positive qualities:
- _____ Additional negative qualities:
 - *Points deducted if serious failure to apply course content or project specifications.*
 - *E.g. On an important topic, the project looks like you aren't even in the course, or you completely missed the point, or blew off the project goals.*

Total
